		Carry								Step 2	- Viability (Step 3 - Acceptability to community and					
		Forward			Step 1 - F	easability	(legal, tech	nnical and en	gineering	feasability)		assessmer	it)		stake	eholders	
		(green =		Consistent with	statutory and	Consistent	Feasible in	experience			Contributes to new			Funding	Public interest		Effective in	Community
		yes, red =		CM Act	policy	with ESD	engineering	demonstrates			knowledge for	Full cost	Cost / benefit	mechanism	and wider	Fairness	reducing	cohesion and
Location	Risk	no)	Management Action	objectives	requirements	principles	terms	feasability	to change	capability	effective mgt	identified	understood	available	benefit	and equity	threat	resilience
North Beach	Uncontrolled vehicle access		Increase or repair existing signage	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	N/A	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Mylestom Spit Hungry Head	damaging dune vegetation and dune morphology. User		Review current access controls	√	 ✓ 	~	~	 ✓ 	√	~	N/A	~	✓	~	~	✓	√	~
	conflicts.	٠	Physical barriers	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	~	~	N/A	~	✓	✓	~	~	~	~
		٠	Rationalise number of entry points and access tracks	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	~	N/A	~	~	~	√	✓	~	
			Permit system and enforcement															
				\checkmark	~	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	N/A	\checkmark	√	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
			Public education	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	NA	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	N/A	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
		•	Rehabilitation of tracks through dune vegetation	\checkmark	~	✓	\checkmark	~	~	~	N/A	\checkmark	✓	✓	~	~	~	~
-	-		Identify significant species,															
	Glory infestation (link to		populations or ecological															
-	strategic priorities in regional		communities at risk.	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓		✓	✓	 ✓ 	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
Hungry Head to Oyster Creek)	plan). Loss of intact		Partner with landcare / dunecare/ school groups		↓		N/A	✓		↓	↓ ✓	√			✓			
			Create a buffer around significant	•		•	IN/A	+ ·	·	•		•			•	· ·		+ <u>·</u>
			vegetation (targetted weed control around SEPP veg).	√	✓		N/A	✓			↓ ✓	~				✓		
Riparian	Vine weeds outcompeting		Identify significant species,		+	- ·		+ ·	·							·	,	+
vegetation along	native species		populations or ecological															
the Bellinger &			communities at risk.	\checkmark	✓	✓	N/A	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	 ✓ 	\checkmark	✓
Kalang Rivers			Create a buffer around significant					1										
			vegetation .	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	N/A	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
			Controlled burning	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	\checkmark	x	x	x	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓
			Incentive schemes for farmers	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	×	√	x	×	×	√	~	√	✓
			Identify opportunities to combine															
			weed management projects with															
			riparian restoration projects	√	✓	✓	N/A	√	✓	✓	N/A	✓	✓	✓	✓	 ✓ 	✓	✓
North Beach Valla Beach to	Coastal recession (100 year) impacts on coastal wetlands and		Monitor wetland or rainforest responses to increasing threats															
Hungry Head	littoral rainforest		and hazards	\checkmark	✓	✓	N/A	√		✓	N/A	✓	✓	✓	✓	 ✓ 	✓	✓
		•	Incentives for landholders to establish / or prtotect ecological	✓	√			↓		x	↓ ✓	×	×	x	↓			✓
			communities Map migration pathways (facilitate		· ·	- ·	· ·	· ·		<u>^</u>	¥	^	<u>^</u>	^ 	•	· ·	· ·	+ · ·
			pathway)	\checkmark	✓	✓	N/A	√	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	 ✓ 	✓	✓
Coastal wetland	Coastal inundation (100 year)		Monitor wetland or rainforest															
north-east of	impacts on coastal wetlands		responses to increasing threats															
Burrawong Parade			and hazards	\checkmark	√	√	N/A	√	✓	 ✓ 	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓
			Buy back and investigate if suitable for Blue Carbon Scheme	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	N/A	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
			Map migration pathways for															
			marine vegetation due to inundation. Check existing study															
			2015	√	√	✓	N/A	√	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓
Coastal zone	Coastal wetlands and Littoral Rainforest extents not	•	Review SEPP mapping in relation to localised vegetation mapping.	~	~	✓	N/A	✓	\checkmark	~	√	~	~	✓	~	~	✓	~

	accurately mapped or included in SEPP mapping.	•	Write and submit a planning proposal to modify SEPP mapping for Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforest	\checkmark	√	√	N/A	\checkmark	↓	✓	√	√	\checkmark	√	~	✓	✓	\checkmark
adjoining Bellinger	Poor ecological function of riparian areas combined with the delivery of eroded sediment to river resulting in turbidity/siltation/impacts on water quality.	•	Targeted riparian restoration projects (see WQ Plan for sites)	✓	×	×		✓		×		✓	√		×	✓	√	×
Shorebird nesting	Threatened shorebirds at risk	•	Conduct a Shorebird Study	√			N/A									\checkmark		
and roosting sites (Urunga, Bongil Bongil NP)	from predation (foxes, corvid species) and human disturbance (dogs, 4WDs, pedestrians).	•	Community education program	-						v v		v	•					
		•	Baiting program for predators (wild dogs, foxes)	✓ ✓	√ 	✓ ✓	N/A N/A	x		×	✓ ✓	×	✓ ✓	×	✓ ✓		✓ ✓	✓ ✓
	-		Increased Ranger presence	\checkmark	\checkmark		N/A	x	✓	x	✓ ×	x	\checkmark	x	✓	✓	~	√
		٠	Identify threats to shorebirds and shorebird habitat. Develop management actions	√		√	NA	~			N/A	✓	✓	√	√	✓	\checkmark	✓
Mylestom	Failing Infrastructure in public reserves (stairs, boat ramp, seawalls, swimming enclosure, shoreline recession)	٠	Investigate channel movement northward to inform design.	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	√ 	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark
		٠	Plan of management for Mylestom foreshore reserve.	\checkmark	~	~	N/A	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark
		•	Install signs or speedhumps near boatramp to improve safety	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	x	x	N/A	\checkmark	×	x	x	\checkmark	x	\checkmark
		•	Investigation of existing assets - condition and compliance with standards	\checkmark	√	↓ ✓	~	\checkmark	√	√	√	✓	\checkmark	~	✓	~	\checkmark	\checkmark
		•	Design and construct new / upgraded infrastructure and protection works	\checkmark	~	✓	~	\checkmark	√	~	✓	✓	\checkmark	~	~	✓	\checkmark	~
			Education and enforcement of vessel speed limits	\checkmark	~	✓	N/A	×	~	×	✓	x	\checkmark	x	~	~	~	~
		•	Plant stabilising vegetation and trees along bank	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
			Provision of a dedicated kayak launch facility	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
		•	Review vessel speed limits and wash zones	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	N/A	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Waterfront residential properties on Kalang and	Highly variable and unsightly foreshore with poorly designed and ineffective protection works in many locations.	I	Develop Foreshore Protection Guidelines that provide acceptable solutions for residents.	\checkmark	~	~	N/A	\checkmark	✓	√	N/A	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	√	\checkmark
Bellinger Rivers (particularly around Newry Island)	-	•	Review and update the DCP to include planning controls in relation to foreshore protection and reference newly developed guidelines.	✓	✓	✓	N/A	~	✓	~	N/A	~	\checkmark	~	✓	~	~	\checkmark
		•	Targeted promotion of Foreshore Protection Guidelines with relevant residents	\checkmark	√	✓	N/A	√	√	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	~	~	~	✓	\checkmark
		٠	Compliance action for newly constructed foreshore protection not consistent with Guidelines and DCP.	\checkmark	√	✓	N/A	~	✓	✓	√	~	✓	V	~	~	✓	~

North Beach	Coastal Erosion & Recession (Immediate). Formation of an erosion scarp and narrowing of the beach berm impacting		Rationalise access or accept maintainance.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
			Closure when necessary.								√		\checkmark		✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
			Formalise an access management	•		•			•				•			•	•	•
	safety of beach accessway and 4WDs.		process(as per entrance management).	\checkmark	~	~	✓		✓	✓	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark
		•	Education / hazard signage (as per LG Act requirements of council for beach safety).		✓	~	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	~	\checkmark	~
Dalhousie Creek entrance	Movement of channel impacting access to surf club	•	Review condition and location of surf club. Determine asset maintenance costs for current location versus potential relocation.	✓	√	✓	~	√	~	~	V	√	√	~	√	✓	√	√
		•	Review current management strategy in terms of effectiveness and cost v benefit over next 10 years.	√	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	~	✓	~	✓	√	~	\checkmark	~
Mylestom Spit	Insufficient knowledge on coastal hazard threat and risk of breach.	•	Coastal hazard study of Mylestom Spit.	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	~	~	~	~	~	✓	√	√
Left bank (Pacific Highway side) upstream of the Newry Island bridge	Turbulent eddies cause erosion adjacent to bridge abutment.		Investigate erosion and structural integrity of bridge abutments.	Best managed under Council Asset Management	×			×		↓	✓	√		~	×			
Atherton Drive	Plan of management developed		Crown Lands to provide update on														•	
precinct	without First Nations input or review.		progress of POM	\checkmark	~	~	N/A	~	~	✓	N/A	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~
		•	Amend POM to incorporate Aboriginal Cultural Heritage	√	✓	✓	N/A	√	✓	✓	√	×	×	×	√	✓	\checkmark	~
			Re-use a derelict boathouse as a cultural heritage centre.	~	×	~	N/A	~	~	x	N/A	x	x	x	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~
Urunga Island /	Management practices of this v important ecological and	•	Investigate ownership.	√	√		N/A	✓	✓	✓	N/A	~	~	✓	~	✓	√	~
Rock	cultural land are not consistent with coastal zone objectives.	•	Investigate public aquisition and Investigate opportunities under the Blue Carbon program.	. √	√	~	N/A	√	· ✓		VA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 ✓	√	·	√	~
		•	Partner with landholder to undertake ecological restoration project.	√	~	~	N/A	~	~	~	Р	~	\checkmark	√	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~
Coastal zone	Inconsistent management of public land	•	Formation of coastal land managers group that includes Council, Crown Lands, Reflections Holiday Parks, First Nations groups, NPWS, Sea Rangers and any other major land manager along the coast.	√	√	✓	N/A	√	√	✓	N/A	✓	~	√	√	~	✓	~
		•	Develop shared understanding of management practices	✓	✓	✓	NI / A	✓	✓	✓	N/A	✓	~	✓	√	✓	✓	✓
		٠	Identify opportunities for shared projects and grant funding.	v	v	v	N/A	v	v	v	IN/A	, v	v	•	v	•	v	v
				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	N/A	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	N/A	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Coastal Zone	Council has small rates base and therefore little budget to complete management actions	•	Consider increasing the environmental levy to implement CMP actions	~	√	~	N/A	~	✓	✓	N/A	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	in the coastal zone or match funds for government grants	•	Forestry and other government land managers to pay levies to Council for coastal zone management actions	~	×	~	N/A	x	√	x	√	x	×	×	✓	~	√	✓
		•	Carbon credits that are received by forestry and national parks to be given to Council	~	×	~	N/A	×	✓	×	√	×	×	×	√	~	~	√
			Tax / levy on airbnbs	\checkmark	x	√	N/A	x	√	x	\checkmark	x	×	x	√	✓	\checkmark	√
		٠	Continue to apply for funding opportunties as they arise	~	√	~	N/A	~	~	~	N/A	~	~	~	✓	~	~	✓
Coastal Zone	Improve relationship and engagement with First Nations groups (change name). IPA	•	Consider development of a reconciliation plan through collaboration of First Nations people and Council	Consistent but best developed through broader council processes	~	↓	N/A	√	×	×	N/A	×		×	~	✓	✓	
		٠	First Nations representation on the coastal land managers group and Councils Coast and Estuary Committee (or similar).	•	√	 ✓	N/A		√		 √	✓ ×	 ✓	√ ×		· ·	√	 ✓
		•	Resolution of outstanding land claims in the Coastal Zone during the period of this plan.	~	✓	~	N/A	×	√	x	\checkmark	x	~	~	~	~	~	✓
		٠	Increase the number of opportunties to meet on Country	~	~	√	√	~	√	~	~	~	√	~	~	~	~	✓
Estuary entrance	Shoaling preventing safe boat access	•	Further discussion with BSC. No active management recommended as many negative impacts from shoal removal. Possibly discuss with TNSW re: safety /warning signs	×	√	×		×		×	N/A	×	×	×	~			

***Actions from Water Quality Management Plan assessed during that process and therefore not included in this table